



STATE OF INDIANA

Eric J. Holcomb
Governor

STATE BUDGET AGENCY
212 State House
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2796
317-232-5610

Jason D. Dudich
Director

May 19, 2017

David E. Scott
Indiana Pesticide Review Board
Purdue University
175 S. University St.
West Lafayette, IN 47907

Dear Mr. Scott,

Pursuant to the provisions of Executive Order 2-89 and Budget Agency Financial Management Circular 2010-4, the State Budget Agency has reviewed the proposed rule that amends 357 IAC 1-17-1 (LSA #17-180), which you submitted to the State Budget Agency on April 12, 2017. After reviewing the proposed rule, the recommendation of the State Budget Agency is that the rule changes be approved.

Furthermore, the statement and analysis (attached hereto) provided by the Indiana Pesticide Review Board is hereby adopted as the Office of Management and Budget's own Fiscal Impact Statement for the purpose of satisfying the requirements under IC 4-22-2-28(d). Also, it is adopted as the Office of Management and Budget's cost benefit analysis under IC 4-3-22-13(a).

If you have questions concerning this action, please contact your budget analyst or SBA at 232-5610.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "JDD".

Jason D. Dudich
Director

JDD/ajb

TITLE 357 INDIANA PESTICIDE REVIEW BOARD

Fiscal Impact Analysis on State and Local Government LSA Document #17-180

The proposed rule will have **no fiscal impact** on state and local government. This rule will simply restrict the distribution and use of agricultural herbicides containing dicamba to pesticide users certified and licensed by the State Chemist. There are currently numerous alternative herbicides that could be used in place of dicamba containing products. In addition, state and local governments that may currently use dicamba in their weed maintenance operations have already have certified applicators on staff or are contracting with certified and licensed application businesses.

In addition, dicamba containing herbicides that are intended for use on turf and other non-agricultural use sites will not be impacted by this rule. Most dicamba herbicide use by state and local government agencies is with the turf and non-agricultural use products, not higher concentration agricultural use products such as those being impacted by this proposed rule.

Likewise, state and local units of government are not in the business of serving as herbicide vendors or dealers, so any minimal additional regulatory compliance requirements experienced by distributors of these products will have no impacts on government.

The State Chemist of the State of Indiana is the agency charged with the administration and enforcement of the regulatory restrictions on the distribution and use of pesticides, including restricted use pesticides, as is being proposed. Because the necessary infrastructure and regulatory programs for administering and enforcing the proposed restrictions are already well established, incorporating these products into that scheme will not require additional resources.